

à

Bankstown Golf Course - Milperra

Bankstown Golf Course - Milperra				
Proposal Title :	Bankstown Golf Course - Milper	ra		
Proposal Summary :	To rezone part of the site from 6(b)Private Open Space to 2(a)Residential to allow residential development on this land.			
PP Number :	PP_2012_BANKS_001_00	Dop File No :	12/07042	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	11-Apr-2012	LGA covered :	Bankstown	
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Bankstown City Council	
State Electorate :	BANKSTOWN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : Bu	llecourt Avenue			
Suburb: Mil	perra City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel : Lot	t 161 DP 752013 and Lot 272 DP 75	2013		
DoP Planning Offi	DoP Planning Officer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Michael Druce			
Contact Number :	0298601544			
Contact Email :	michael.druce@planning.nsw.go	v.au		
RPA Contact Deta	RPA Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Alejandra Rojas			
Contact Number :	0297079577			
Contact Email :	alejandra.rojas@bankstown.nsw.	gov.au		
DoP Project Manager Contact Details				
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	a			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	

Bankstown Golf Course - Milperra

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department's register of lobb and there are no records of any c	-	-
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	To the best of the regional team's communications with registered la	-	o meetings or
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessmen Statement of the obj			

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Δ

The statement of objectives is inadequate. It should be more explicit defining the site that is to be rezoned rather than only make reference to the attached Land Application Map. It is recommended that it make reference to the relevant lots and/or parts of lots to be rezoned.

This section also contains information on 'guiding principles'. This is unneccessary and addresses other parts of the planning proposal such as 'Justification'.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

It is unneccessary to set out required amendments to the LEP and the specific clauses. It is recommended that it be simplified to a concise statement in the following form: To rezone the subject land to 2(a) residential and to apply a floor space ration (FSR) of 0.5:1, and a maximum building height of 9 metres.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones
may need the Director General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

	4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required? No	
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No	
d) Which SEPPs have t	the RPA identified? SEPP No 32Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Lar SEPP No 55Remediation of Land GMREP No. 2 - Georges River Catchment	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	SEPP 55 Remediation of Land - requires the RPA to consider site contamination when rezoning land. The proponent has undertaken a preliminary assessment of the land and Council has identified further investigations that will need to be undertaken. Council states that the outcomes of these investigations may require changes to the planning proposal.	
	GMREP 2 Georges River Catchment - this requires the consent authority to consider issues such as acid sulfate soils, flooding and stormwater run-off.	
	SEPP 32 Urban Consolidation - Council has identified that the proposal is consistent wit this.	
Have inconsistencies w	rith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :		
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Y	/es	
Comment :	The mapping provided is considered adequate for the purposes of exhibition.	
Comment : Community consult		
Community consult		
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Community consult Has community consult Comment :	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days.	
Community consulta Has community consulta Comment : Additional Director (ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation.	
Community consulta Has community consulta Comment : Additional Director (ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements	
Community consulta Has community consulta Comment : Additional Director (Are there any additional	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No	
Community consults Has community consults Comment : Additional Director of Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No	
Community consults Has community consults Comment : Additional Director of Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No	
Community consults Has community consults Comment : Additional Director (Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No I the proposal t the adequacy criteria? Yes The planning proposal is consistent with the guidelines and no additional information is required.	
Community consults Has community consults Comment : Additional Director (Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment :	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No I the proposal t the adequacy criteria? Yes The planning proposal is consistent with the guidelines and no additional information is required.	
Community consults Has community consults Comment : Additional Director of Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment :	ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes This is a minor matter and would normally require a consultation period of 14 days. However, Council has indicated a need for 28 days to undertake the consultation. General's requirements I Director General's requirements? No i the proposal t the adequacy criteria? Yes The planning proposal is consistent with the guidelines and no additional information is required.	

Bankstown Golf Course - Milperra

Aland for planning	The planning proposal	is eaching to serons a small amoun	t of land automatic manad for
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is seeking to rezone a small amount of land currently zoned for private recreation to low density residential.		
		ere is sufficient infrastructure to sup the proposal is consistent with the e	
	-	at the proposal will provide addition shed in its Residential Development	÷ ÷
	This is supported by th	e Department.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	mentioned above, it res	consistent with the current strategies ponds to the requirement recomme the targets set in the Draft West Cen	ndations of the RDS which is
Environmental social economic impacts :	Threatened species Council has identified that items listed under the Threatened Species Conservation Act are present on adjacent lands. Prior to development of the land further studies may be required to confirm the level of impact it will have and any remedial or compensatory activities that will need to be undertaken.		
	site. Notwithstanding th	flooding and this is why Council is o nis, Council has identified a need for ntial land uses and the proposed flo	r a more detailed flood study to
	Land contamination Council has identified that further assessment of potential for land contamination is required. Please note that the outcomes of these studies could result in revisions being made to the planning proposal.		
	ssessment Proces	5	
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
imeframe to make	9 Month	Delegation :	DDG
ublic Authority	Office of Environment a	nd Heritage	

.

ankstown Golf Course	e - Milperra		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :		
Flora Fauna Flooding Other - provide details k If Other, provide reasons			
Consultation with Office fauna studies are requir		nd Heritage should be undertaken to determine whe	ther flora and/or
Assessment of the site	for potential land co	ontamination should be required.	
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required	:	
No internal consultation	ı required		
Is the provision and fundi	ing of state infrastruc	cture relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
ocuments			
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
Bankstown City Council_03-04-2012		Proposal	Yes
00_00_00_Bankstown G	olf Course site in M	lilperrapdf	
anning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supporte	ed at this stage : Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	2.1 Environment		
	3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La	ones and Use and Transport	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate S	Soils	
	4.3 Flood Prone L 6.3 Site Specific I		
		on of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Additional Information :	It is recommende	d that the proposal proceed subject to the followin	g conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act 1979 for a period of 28 days;		
	2. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination;		
	* Part 1. The dea to make reference reference to the a	nning proposal prior to exhibition as follows: scription of the land to which the proposal applies e to the relevant lots and/or parts of lots to be rezo accompanying land zoning map. the table in this part and amend to read; "To rezond	ned, and to make

Bankstown Golf Course	e - Milperra	
	height of 9 metres."	
	4. The Chief Executive of the Office of Environment and Heritage should be consulted on the proposed rezoning. Consultation may also be required with that Office under section 34A of the EP & A Act 1979, in relation to the impacts of the development on threatened species.	
	5. Ensure that the flooding studies, contaminated lands study, and if required by OEH, impact on threatened species study, be undertaken prior to exhibition.	
Supporting Reasons :	The Proposal will provide additional housing opportunities in a generally suitable location.	
Signature: Printed Name:	Tim Archer Date: 20/9/12	

-